

Continual Learning







Training... 12,50%

Ð

Davide Maltoni

University of Bologna davide.maltoni@unibo.it

Machine Intelligence (a) BioLab

Computer Science Department - University of Bologna

Cesena - Italy

People involved in this research











Davide Maltoni

Vincenzo Lomonaco

co Lorenzo Pellegrini

Gabriele Graffieti

Guido Borghi

Training vs Inference

• Today most of the ML models are batch trained on **huge** and **fixed** datasets.

- Strong separation between
 - Training (server side with GPUs)
 - Inference (also on light architectures)







Why Continual Learning ?

- Many real-world scenarios (e.g. object recognition in robotics) are incremental and training data available as subsequent batches (or from streaming sources).
- Storing the whole past data and retrain the system from scratch is often unfeasible.
- Imperative to **build on top** of previously learned knowledge.
- Toward natural learning: lifelong, mostly unsupervised, multimodal
- Problem: Catastrophic forgetting (McCloskey & Cohen 1989)

Catastrophic Forgetting



Strategies to mitigate forgetting

- Architectural: specific architectures, layers, activation functions, and/or weight-freezing strategies.
- **Regularization:** loss function is extended with terms promoting selective consolidation of the weights which are important to retain past memories.
- **Rehearsal:** past information is periodically replayed to the model, to strengthen connections for memories it has already learned.

Recently some interesting approaches have proposed (e.g. EwC, LwF), but tested on simple benchmarks.

D. Maltoni, V. Lomonaco. *Continuous learning in single-incremental-task scenarios*, Neural Networks, 2019.

Our Aims

Online Continual Learning

- Limited computation and storage, real-time updates, small non i.i.d. batches
- Training (once deployed) at the edge without network connection
- Privacy friendly (no server-side processing, no storage of row data)

Focus on real computer vision applications

- Robotics*
- Smart cameras (e.g. surveillance)
- Vision apps on mobile devices

*T. Lesort, V. Lomonaco, et al. *Continual Learning for Robotics*, Information Fusion, 2020.

CORe Android App



- Demonstrates CL at the edge.
- Android smartphone with no hardware acceleration.
- Near real-time training of a MobileNet (less than 1 sec. to update the model after each 20 sec. video).

L. Pellegrini, G. Graffieti, V. Lomonaco and D. Maltoni. *Latent Replay for Real-Time Continual Learning*, IROS 2020.

This Video: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bs3tSjwbHa4</u> Download the App: <u>https://github.com/lrzpellegrini/CL-CORe-App</u>



A new Dataset and Benchmark

Categories

A A	1	20		-				# Images	164,866
	9 T	8	St.					Format	RGB-D
·	2	for		4	X		R	Image size	350x350 128x128
_111	8 4		7		100	-		# Categories	10
	TAR	56	R				AT.	# Obj. x Cat.	5
- 44			6			1		# Sessions	11
		89				-		# img. x Sess.	~300
	Prifer .	RE	~	1	PA S	1	12	# Outdoor Sess.	3
- Aller		A	3			m		Acquisition Sett.	Hand held

Vincenzo Lomonaco and Davide Maltoni. CORe50: a new Dataset and Benchmark for Continuous Object Recognition, 1st Conference on Robot Learning, Mountain View (CA), 2017.

AR-1: Architectural component (CWR)



implementations) in recent **Rebalance** and **ScalL** approaches.

AR-1: **R**egularization (SI)



- To control forgetting in the lower layers we use Synaptic Intelligence (EWC like approach) to limit update of *important* weights.
- SI exploits information made available by SGD and does not require further gradient propagations to compute the weight importance.
- We proposed a variant of the EWC weight update that does not require to store the old weights and reduce the risk of divergence.

Practical issues with rehearsal

1.Rehearsal (or replay) requires to store some representatives of old batches

• ICARL is one of the best-known techniques.

2.Requires extra storage

- For example for ImageNet, if we store 20 patterns per class, the total storage is about 3.8 GB.
- 3....and extra forward/backward steps!
 - When mixing new and old patterns more iterations for epoch.

Idea: storing activations at some intermediate level and not raw images.

AR-1 with Latent Replay

this is the solution used in the CORe demo App

Output layer (classes)



Work in progress AR-1 with Latent Generative Replay



Output layer (classes)

Future work

Move toward unsupervised training

- Self-training by exploiting temporal coherence*.
- Openset classification (automatic discovery of new classes).
- Sparse human supervision (active learning).

Applications

- On device adaptation (e.g., Recycling code application)
- Loop Closure in Robotic SLAM.

*D. Maltoni and V. Lomonaco. *Semi-supervised Tuning from Temporal Coherence*, ICPR 2016.