

# New Mathematics from Lambda Calculus

Antonino Salibra  
Università Ca' Foscari, Venezia

In honor of Simone Martini

## Church Algebras

### Definition

An algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a *Church algebra* if it admits a ternary operator  $q(x, y, z)$  and two constants  $0, 1$  such that, for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$  :

$$q(1, x, y) = x \quad q(0, x, y) = y$$

Examples :

- Rings :  $q(x, y, z) = xy + (1 - x)z$ .
- Boolean algebras :  $q(x, y, z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (\neg x \wedge z)$ .
- Lambda models :  $1 = \llbracket \lambda xy.x \rrbracket$ ;  $0 = \llbracket \lambda xy.y \rrbracket$ ;  $q(x, y, z) = \llbracket (xy)z \rrbracket$ .
- Combinatory algebras :  $1 = \mathbf{k}$ ;  $0 = \mathbf{sk}$ ;  $q(x, y, z) = (xy)z$ .

## A digression : semantic incompleteness in the $\lambda$ -calculus

### The problem

Given a class of models of the pure  $\lambda$ -calculus, is it the case that *every*  $\lambda$ -theory is representable in it ?

Some (ad hoc) incompleteness results :

- Scott semantics (Honsell and Ronchi della Rocca 1992),
- Stable semantics (Gouy 1995),
- Strongly stable semantics (Salibra 2001).

A *general* incompleteness result :

### Theorem (Manzonetto and Salibra 2006)

The  $\lambda$ -models arising from cpo-based semantics are *indecomposable*, and there exist  $\lambda$ -theories admitting only *decomposable* models.

## Factor congruences and decomposition

### Decomposition

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C} \\ \Updownarrow \\ \exists \theta, \bar{\theta} \text{ such that } \mathbf{B} \cong \mathbf{A}/\theta \text{ and } \mathbf{C} \cong \mathbf{A}/\bar{\theta} \\ \Updownarrow \\ \exists \theta, \bar{\theta} \text{ as above, and moreover } \theta \cap \bar{\theta} = \Delta \text{ and } \theta \circ \bar{\theta} = \nabla \end{array}$$

$(\theta, \bar{\theta})$  is called a *pair of complementary factor congruences* (CFC-pair).

An algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is

- *indecomposable* if it does not admit any non trivial CFC-pair.
- *simple* if  $\text{Con}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\Delta, \nabla\}$ .

## Central elements

### Definition

An element  $c$  of a double-pointed algebra is *central* if  $(\theta(1, c), \theta(0, c))$  is a CFC-pair.

### Within Church Algebras

The BA of central elements  $\Leftrightarrow$  The BA of CFC-pairs

$$\begin{aligned} c \in \text{Ce}(\mathbf{A}) &\mapsto (\theta(1, c), \theta(0, c)) \\ (\theta, \bar{\theta}) &\mapsto \text{The unique } c \text{ such that } 1\theta c\bar{\theta}0 \end{aligned}$$

### BA-operations on central elements

$$x \wedge y = q(x, y, 0); \quad x \vee y = q(x, 1, y); \quad \neg x = q(x, 0, 1)$$

### Equational characterization

$c \in \mathbf{A}$  is central iff

1.  $q(c, 1, 0) = c$
2.  $q(c, x, x) = x$
3.  $q(c, q(x_1, x_2, x_3), q(y_1, y_2, y_3)) = q(q(c, x_1, y_1), q(c, x_2, y_2), q(c, x_3, y_3))$

## Non-trivial central elements in $\lambda$ -models

The  $\lambda$ -term  $\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$  is *easy* : it can be consistently equated to any other closed  $\lambda$ -term.

### Fact

In the term model of the  $\lambda$ -theory  $\theta(1, \Omega) \cap \theta(0, \Omega)$ , where  $1 = \lambda xy.x$ ,  $0 = \lambda xy.y$ ,  $\Omega$  is a *non-trivial* central element (hence the term model of that  $\lambda$ -theory is decomposable).

### Lemma

Indecomposable lambda-algebras are closed by subalgebras.

### Corollary

Any model of the  $\lambda$ -theory  $\theta(1, \Omega) \cap \theta(0, \Omega)$  is decomposable (since if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a model of the  $\lambda$ -calculus then the term model of  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$ ).

# Incompleteness

## Scott $\lambda$ -algebras are simple, hence indecomposable

Proof :

- Let  $\phi \neq \Delta$  be a congruence on a Scott  $\lambda$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ . Suppose  $a\phi b$  with  $a \not\leq b$ .
- Given  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $g_c(x) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } x \leq b \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  is continuous.
- Let  $d \in \mathbf{A}$  representing  $g_c$  (for all  $x$ ,  $dx = g_c(x)$ ).
- Hence  $c = da \phi db = \perp$ , and  $\phi = \nabla$  by the arbitrariness of  $c$ .

## Theorem

The Scott semantics of the  $\lambda$ -calculus is incomplete.

(no Scott model may have  $\theta(1, \Omega) \cap \theta(0, \Omega)$  as theory)

More generally :

## Theorem

The class of all indecomposable  $\lambda$ -models (including Scott, stable, strongly stable semantics) is incomplete.

## Incompleteness 2

Let  $(G, \rightarrow)$  be a directed graph. We define :

$$x \leftrightarrow_1 y \text{ if either } x \rightarrow y \text{ or } y \rightarrow x; \quad x \leftrightarrow_{k+1} y \text{ if } \exists z \ x \leftrightarrow_k z \leftrightarrow_1 y$$

### Theorem

The class of all partially ordered  $\lambda$ -models with bottom is incomplete.

*Proof* : Let  $(G, \rightarrow)$  be a directed graph with a binary operation  $\bullet$  on nodes and with a designated node 0 such that

- 1  $x \rightarrow y \Rightarrow z \bullet x \rightarrow z \bullet y$  and  $x \bullet z \rightarrow y \bullet z$
- 2  $x \bullet x = 0$
- 3  $x \rightarrow y$  and  $y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow x = y$

We define :  $x \bullet_1 y = x \bullet y$ ;  $x \bullet_{n+1} y = 0 \bullet (x \bullet_n y)$ .

- 1  $x \rightarrow y \Rightarrow z \bullet_n x \rightarrow z \bullet_n y$  and  $x \bullet_n z \rightarrow y \bullet_n z$
- 2  $x \bullet_n x = 0$

- (a)  $x \rightarrow y \Rightarrow_{(1)} 0 = x \bullet x \rightarrow x \bullet y \rightarrow y \bullet y = 0 \Rightarrow_{(3)} x \bullet y = 0$  and  $y \bullet x = 0$
- (b)  $x \leftrightarrow_n z \leftrightarrow_1 y$  implies  $0 =_{(\text{Ind})} x \bullet_n z \leftrightarrow_1 x \bullet_n y$  implies  
 $0 =_{(a)} 0 \bullet (x \bullet_n y) = x \bullet_{n+1} y$
- (c)  $\forall n. x \bullet_n y \neq 0$  implies that there is no path of  $\leftrightarrow_1$  from  $x$  to  $y$ .
- (d) The lambda theory axiomatised by  $0xx = 0$ , where  $0 \equiv \Omega$  is the looping term and  $x \bullet y = 0xy$ , does not admit po-models with bottom.



## Easy sets of lambda terms

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a Church algebra. A finite subset  $X \subseteq_{\text{fin}} A$  is an *easy set* if

$\forall (b \subseteq X) \theta(b, 1) \vee \theta(X \setminus b, 0)$  is a consistent congruence

### Theorem (Manzonetto-Salibra)

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a Church algebra and  $X$  be an easy set. Then there exists a congruence  $\phi$  such that the principal filter  $\phi \uparrow$  (of the congruences  $\psi \supseteq \phi$ ) is isomorphic to the free Boolean algebra with  $X$  generators.

### Corollary

*The lattice of lambda theories contains (at the top) Boolean lattices of any finite cardinality.*

## Church algebras of dimension $n$

### Definition

An algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a *Church algebra of dimension  $n$*  ( $n$ -CA) if it admits a  $(n + 1)$ -ary operator  $q(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $n$  constants  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$q(e_i, y_1, \dots, y_n) = y_i$$

Examples :

- Lambda models :
  - $e_i = \llbracket \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_i \rrbracket$
  - $\llbracket q(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) = xy_1 \dots y_n \rrbracket$ .
- $n$ -sets on a set  $U : \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) : X_i \subseteq U\}$ ;
  - $e_i = (\underbrace{\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset}_{(i-1) \text{ times}}, U, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset)$ ,
  - $q(X, Y^1, \dots, Y^n) = (\bigcup_{j=1}^n X_j \cap Y_1^j, \dots, \bigcup_{j=1}^n X_j \cap Y_n^j)$ .

## $n$ -central elements

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n$ -CA, then  $c \in A$  is called  $n$ -central if the sequence  $(\theta(c, e_1), \dots, \theta(c, e_n))$  is a tuple of complementary factor congruences of  $\mathbf{A}$ , meaning that :

- $\bigcap_{i \leq n} \theta(c, e_i) = \Delta$  ;
- for all  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $q(c, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is the unique element such that  $a_i \theta(c, e_i) q(c, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  ;

If  $c$  is  $n$ -central, then  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{A}/\theta(c, e_1) \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}/\theta(c, e_n)$ .

### Equational characterisation

$c \in \mathbf{A}$  is  $n$ -central iff :

- 1 :  $q(c, e_1, \dots, e_n) = c$ .
- 2 :  $q(c, x, x, \dots, x) = x$  for every  $x \in A$ .
- 3 :

$$\begin{aligned} & q(c, q(x_1^1, \dots, x_{n+1}^1), \dots, q(x_1^n, \dots, x_{n+1}^n)) \\ &= q(q(c, x_1^1, \dots, x_1^n), \dots, q(c, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{n+1}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

## Proposition

The set of  $n$ -central elements of a  $n$ -CA  $\mathbf{A}$  is a subalgebra of the reduct of  $\mathbf{A}$  (i.e. : if  $x, y_1, \dots, y_n$  are  $n$ -central, then  $q(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is  $n$ -central.)

## Definition

A Boolean algebra of dimension  $n$  ( $n$ -BA) is a  $n$ -CA whose elements are all  $n$ -central.

Examples :

- The  $n$ -BA  $(\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, q, e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of *generalized truth values*.
- The  $n$ -CA of  $n$ -partitions of a set  $U$  :  
 $\{(X_1, \dots, X_n) : X_i \subseteq U, \bigcup_j X_j = U, X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset\}$ ;

## BAs and $n$ -BAs

### A representation theorem

Any  $n$ -BA is isomorphic to a field of  $n$ -partitions.

The 2-elements Boolean algebra is *primal*: all Boolean functions are definable by AND, OR, NOT. This property is inherited by  $n$ -BAs.

### Primality in $n$ -BAs

The  $n$ -BA  $(\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, q, e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is primal and generates the variety of  $n$ -BAs.

Happy Birthday Simone !